EXHIBIT 4 FILED UNDER SEAL

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 179 Filed 04/07/17 Page 2 of 7 OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 3
 4
 5
      WAYMO LLC,
                      Plaintiff,
 6
 7
                                         Case No.
              VS.
                                         3:17-cv-00939-WHA
 8
      UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
      OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO
 9
      TRUCKING LLC,
10
                     Defendants.
11
12
13
14
                 OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
15
16
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TIM WILLIS
17
                    San Francisco, California
                    Thursday, March 23, 2017
18
19
                            Volume I
20
21
22
     Reported by: SUZANNE F. GUDELJ
     CSR No. 5111
23
24
     Job No. 2576518
    PAGES 1 - 105
25
                                                    Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 179 Filed 04/07/17 Page 3 of 7 OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	but that's if assuming that you're networked,	
2	right?	
3	MS. BAILEY: Object to form.	
4	THE WITNESS: During that period, I'm not	
5	aware of him not having access to the network.	11:24:10
6	BY MR. MUINO:	
7	Q And what if he's reading documents away	
8	from the office?	
9	A He could WiFi at home.	
10	Q Have you exported documents to your	11:24:22
11	devices?	
12	A Yes, I have.	
13	Q How frequently do you export documents to	
14	your devices for your work?	
15	A Not frequently. Rarely.	11:24:38
16	Q But you've done it before?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q And why did you do it on those occasions?	
19	A If I needed to communicate with a supplier,	
20	maybe a contract where I'm revising it; or they're	11:24:47
21	sending me a presentation, but I don't have to	
22	download I mean, it's downloaded from email, but	
23	only if I typically only if I'm exchanging with	
24	the supplier information. Red line documents, those	
25	type of things that somebody needs to use, Excel or	11:25:06
		Page 46

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 179 Filed 04/07/17 Page 4 of 7 OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY



Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 179 Filed 04/07/17 Page 5 of 7 OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	They're not exclusive to Google Waymo?
3	А	No.

13	Q Looking at this list, do you know if Uber	
1.4	ugog gome or all of those wondors?	

L		V '4 4 I 10 1 4'	
			Page 88
25	Q	When did that occur?	12:31:37
24	to do bu	usiness with them.	
23	planning	g on or that Uber had reached out to them	
		to let me know that they were	
21	Q	was that?	
20	А	A vendor approached me	12:31:24
19	Q	And on what occasion	
18	А	Yes.	
17	its doir	ng business with Uber?	
16	Q	Have you ever spoken with any vendor about	
15	А	I wouldn't know.	12:31:12
14	uses som	me or all of these vendors?	
13	Q	Looking at this list, do you know if Uber	

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 179 Filed 04/07/17 Page 6 of 7 OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	BY MR. MUINO:	
2	Q In your experience, do Google employees,	
3	former Google employees, after they leave the	
4	company, ever get consulted in connection with their	
5	work? Is there any follow-up to ask them questions 12:59:55	
6	pertinent to their former work?	
7	MS. BAILEY: Object to form.	
8	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.	
9	BY MR. MUINO:	
10	Q Do you know if after Mr. Kshirsagar left, 01:00:07	
11	anyone contacted him to ask him questions about his	
12	prior work?	
13	A I never reached out to him, no.	
14	Q How about Mr. Raduta?	
15	A I never reached out to him. 01:00:18	
16	Q Now, you don't have any information that	
17	Uber is using any of Waymo or Google's trade	
18	secrets, do you?	
19	MS. BAILEY: Object to form.	
20	THE WITNESS: No. 01:00:41	
21	BY MR. MUINO:	
22	Q And you may be aware there's an allegation	
23	that 14,000, approximately, documents were	
24	misappropriated. That's an allegation in this case.	
25	You don't have any information that Uber is using 01:00:58	
	Page 103	

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 179 Filed 04/07/17 Page 7 of 7 OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
3	certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
7	prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record
8	of the proceedings was made by me using machine
9	shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my
10	direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true
11	record of the testimony given.
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
13	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review
15	of the transcript [] was [X] was not requested.
16	I further, certify I am neither financially
17	interested in the action nor a relative or employee
18	of any attorney or party to this action.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
20	subscribed my name.
21	Dated:3/24/17
22	Surprise J. Gudelj.
23	Eligania. 9.50
	SUZANNE F. GUDELJ
24	CSR No. 5111
25	
	Page 105